

99 Folly Principle

by Nishaun Smith

There is another basic understanding of evolution that is contrary to the world around us. I refer to this as the "99 folly principle." This argument uses the claim that 99% of mutations are "bad." (It is more like 100% of mutations are bad but we will use this argument anyhow.) Imagine that you are an animal like a fish that has many, many eggs at once. Now let's say that you have 100 eggs and 99 of them are bad. There are also other fish around so your children won't have to marry each other. Let's say now that 50 of your bad children die of genetic disease. Why only 50 of them? Because many, many genetic diseases are **survivable**. Let's say 10 of them that survived can't reproduce because they have genetically bad fins and can't catch up with their potential mates, who swim too fast. Now the next generation of fish in your "devonian pond" have a 39 to 1 chance of getting a genetic anomaly.

The 99 Folly Principle states; if evolution is true then there will always be more two headed dogs than there are highly evolved dogs because two-headed dogs can STILL reproduce. Where are all the two headed dogs, or the dogs with two tails? If there can be major changes like a "monkey" gaining an opposable thumb, then where are the major survivable diseases like maybe a race of monkeys with 7 fingers on one hand? The 99 folly principle explains that there should be loads of animals that are obviously "asymmetrical" and deformed but *all* animals have a relative amount of everything. Remember just because you are not fit does not mean you won't survive. I think evolutionists, accidentally, missed this point. To reiterate, why are animals so "perfect."

www.nishaun.com

www.thetheoryofactuality.com

Copyright © 2007 by Nishaun Smith

Feel free to copy **do not alter or sell.**