

Evolution at a glance
by Nishaun Smith

To refute evolution you must first understand evolution.
Three arguments to use to understand evolution.

- 1) Compare evolution to the Bible
- 2) Compare evolution to science.
- 3) Compare evolution to itself.

1.

Should I stop believing the Bible and believe evolution? No! The arguments of evidence that are brought forth do not necessarily disprove the Bible, “Lucy's” approximate skull size does not disprove the Bible, nor does the age of rocks that were found *around* her. There is no Bible verse that prevents stones from being old or prevent monkeys from having large brains. Nor does the Bible claim that genes can't mutate. Most likely God created a planet in motion, therefore, Adam looked older than he actually was. There is no actual verse that claims the planet is less than 6000 years old but when you add up the ages and time periods mentioned it seems that life on this planet is less than 6000 years. The basic Christian argument pertaining to the fossil record is the Flood Theory. Evolutionists usually respond by saying these fossils are layered too perfectly also there are too many fish fossils and certain events usually take more than 40 days and 40 nights. (Events like the growing of coral on mountains.) One has to acknowledge that the Great Flood most likely happened sometime around 3000 B.C. to 2500 B.C. Which would mean that this planet existed for at least 1000 plus years before the flood. This planet was most likely super charged with oxygen and life and growth. This is around the time when Adam and Methuselah lived. Not all formations or fossils were created by the flood. Without getting too far into the under researched theory, evidence is not that *evidential* when there are large periods of time involved. There is no actual reason to believe that large amounts of historical evidence will exist, evolutionists actually use this in their attempts to propagate evolution. Physical evidence of evolution is actually quite limited. The truth is what you think is limited evidence of evolution may actually be extremely limited evidence of the Great Flood. How would anyone know where to draw the line of evidence consistency? My favorite [creationist](#) is [Walter Veith](#), he focuses some of his research on the Flood Theory. He asks, why is it that one sedimentary layer will swirl together with another layer as if they were both mud at the same time. Why are there hundreds of miles of incredibly flat sediments while the top layer is rocky and bumpy?

2.

Now compare evolution to other sciences. How does an airplane engineer explain his theories and how does he prove them? If that airplane engineer is an idiot how would we prove it. I don't think I have to explain. Now, how would we know if an evolutionist was an idiot? Evolution cannot be tested. (Viruses do not evolve they change equally to other viruses, to define evolution you would have to define energy increase to a more complex compound.) It takes energy for one cell to join with another or to control or coordinate another cell. You need a complex mother to create a complex cell, a cell can only repeat its energy; it can't gain patterns it did not already have. (The word 'random' is not a mathematically acceptable word, nor is 'evolution.')

No equation can ever have a random result or evolve into a more complex equation. I think someone may have to invent a new science to counter evolution. One that explains something we already know that no object can create a result more complex than itself. But, to be specific the human brain and body is actually, mathematically, a more complex number system than a one celled organism. Mathematically, the chicken had to come before the egg.

3.

Now compare evolution to evolution. What is evolution? Evolution is actually the devil's fantasy. The truth is the devil secretly hopes the evolutionary scientists will find some scenario that will allow an angel to “evolve” into a “god.” Sadly, for him a dog will never be a man, nor a monkey. A man will never be an angel without God's help. And no angel will ever be God!

The main weaknesses in evolution;

[The 99 Folly Principle](#),

can't genetically test fossils,

can't carbon date or do radiometric dating on fossils,

not nearly enough actual physical evidence,

viruses don't evolve into bacteria,

viruses can only change to other slightly different viruses,

[upside down pyramids look good on paper but don't work in the real world.](#)

I don't believe it.

Nothing else evolves,

everything decays and devolves.

What is the fossil record?

Basic mathematics $(1+1+2+3\neq5+3+3)$.

www.nishaun.com

www.thetheoryofactuality.com

Copyright © 2008 by Nishaun Smith

Feel free to copy **do not alter or sell.**