

What is Atheism?

By Nishaun Smith

Be very careful, atheists are not scientists and all scientists are not atheists. There are even evolutionists who believe in some sort of god. Many times atheists talk as if they know more about DNA than geneticists and more about the fossil record than evolutionists.

Is there enough evidence to build a whole theology?

Limited evidence plus grandiose theory is actually a sign of a false religion.

Naturally you can't prove lineage through similarity.

Finding an animal that looks like another animal in no way proves lineage.

Note that it is currently impossible to do a genetic test on a fossil.

Note that it is currently impossible to do radiometric dating on a fossil.

Did you know it is almost impossible to find a close to complete monkey skull?

Interesting how when you ask an evolutionist why evolution makes sense they will say genetics, radiometric dating and monkey skull size (change).

(They try to get dates from certain rocks if they are available in the area.)

An honest evolutionist, on a good day, will admit that there is not technically enough evidence to prove evolution. But they will then say evolution is what the best evidence shows.

You ask then, where did it all come from, if not evolution.

I don't have to answer that but evolution remains unproven.

Evolutionists confused feeling that they disproved the Bible with thinking they have a strong theory.

The most annoying thing is an atheist's "scientific" disagreements with the Bible. They usually take a few verses from a poetic, prophetic book like Psalms and claim that the Bible wants Christians to believe the Earth is stationary because it states, the earth moves not. That is like claiming the Civil War never happened because there was a guy named Stonewall Jackson. We all know men can't be stone walls, come on! The Bible especially the book of Psalms is too poetic to understand in mathematical terms. They even target the genealogies of the Bible claiming that these are not accurate. They ignore the fact that these genealogies were meant as vague references to tribal lineage and not an estimate of how many children anyone had, female children were usually not mentioned. There is another claim that (1 Kings 7:23) is a scientific contradiction because it states the diameter of Solomon's Basin is 15 feet and the circumference is 45 feet. Which gives a ratio of 3 not 3.14. They completely ignore the fact that all bowls have a circumference that is less than 3.14 because bowls have walls or they couldn't hold anything. A person who is measuring a bowl would most likely measure the outside. A person may also only measure from one inside wall to the other in order to get the capacity of the bowl. If the walls are more than a "nanometer" thick the PI would be thrown off. Most bowls have walls more than a nanometer thick. Are atheists thinking critically or are

they thinking cynically. Is atheism just another unscientific religion that claims to know more about Christian history than most historians? Interesting how historians or archaeologists will admit that there actually is non-biblical evidence that the kings and the kingdoms of the Bible existed. The actual scientific argument against Bible history is that scientists personally feel that they carbon-dated the cities like Jericho and they feel that these cities are older than the Bible claims. But I forgive them no one is perfect. (Jericho was even burned to the ground and it had not been looted.)

It gets more *comical* when atheists try to convince me that Christianity is routed in paganism and they reference a whole bunch of Catholic statues and paintings. I am like there is a reason why we are Protestants, we are protesting the Catholic church!!! We already know that the easter bunny has nothing to do with Christianity! We already requested that they change their theology and in response they murdered 50,000,000 to 75,000,000 Christians in their 1260 year rule. We already know Catholicism is half-paganism, please learn history “da vinci” believers! There was a church before the Catholics, there was a church during the Catholics, there was a church after the Pope suffered his deadly wound at the hands of Napoleon. There is a church even now after the deadly wound has been healed!

The Pope legally ruled for 1260 years, from 538 A.D. to 1798. Around 533 the Justinian Code gave the Pope an army, but because the Roman empire did not control the city of Rome (where the Pope lives) until 538 he could not rule. When the Ostrogoths finally retreated from the city, the Holy Roman Empire was birthed! Why is this important? Because the Bible tells us that God's people would be under persecution for 1260 days, also another verse states 42 months, another states 3 and a half years. Using the day for a year principle that is mentioned in the Bible, 1260 days turns into 1260 years of persecution. That is why we trust the Bible. Why did God allow so many of his people to die at the hands of the Pope? Well he did warn them, and he has warned again.

On a lighter note, why do atheists always say they don't need a god to tell them right or wrong? Well, do they disagree with fornication, adultery and homosexuality? Do they refuse to eat pork or lobster for health reasons? If not then they must need God to tell them right or wrong. What they are trying to say is that they disagree with the Bible, so why don't they just say that? Obviously, they don't because they would be less convincing because they would just sound like satanists. Get it? It's all a game, the devils game, and they are just playing their part.

www.nishaun.com

www.about-god.org

Copyright © 2008 by Nishaun Smith

Feel free to copy **do not alter or sell.**

